1 2 3 4 5 6	LAURA E. DUFFY United States Attorney CAROLINE J. CLARK Assistant U.S. Attorney California State Bar No. 220000 United States Attorney's Office 880 Front Street, Room 6293 San Diego, California 92101-8893 Email: caroline.clark@usdoj.gov Telephone: (619) 557-7491 Facsimile: (619) 557-5004							
7	Attorneys for Petitioner							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. '10 CV2542 IEG POR							
11	Petitioner, ) PETITION TO ENFORCE INTERNAL ) REVENUE SERVICE SUMMONS							
12	v. )							
13	) Date: February 7, 2011 MICHAEL A. NEAL, ) Time: 10:30 a.m. ) Crtrm: 1							
14	Respondent. The Honorable Irma E. Gonzalez							
15								
16	Petitioner, the United States of America, by its counsel, Laura E. Duffy, United States Attorney							
17	and Caroline J. Clark, Assistant United States Attorney, petitions the Court for an order to enforce the							
18	Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") summons described below and, in support thereof, alleges as follows:							
19	1. This proceeding is brought at the request of the Chief Counsel, IRS, a delegate of the							
20	Secretary of the Treasury, and at the direction of the Attorney General of the United States.							
21	2. Jurisdiction over these proceedings is conferred upon this Court by 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402(b) and							
22	7604(a), and 28 U.S.C. § 1345.							
23	3. Venue is proper in the Southern District of California because Michael A. Nea							
24	("Respondent") is found within this district.							
25	4. At all times relevant, Marilyn Montgomery, who served the summons to Respondent, was a							

Revenue Officer with the IRS, employed in the California Area of the Small Business/Self-Employed

Division of the IRS in San Diego, California, and was authorized to take testimony and receive

documents requested in the IRS summons pursuant to the authority contained in 26 U.S.C.  $\S$  7602 and

26

27

28

26 C.F.R. § 301.7602-1. <u>See</u> Declaration of Revenue Officer Marilyn Montgomery at ¶ 1 (hereinafter "Montgomery Decl.").

- 5. Respondent resides at 4161 Udall Street, Apartment D, San Diego, California, which is within the geographical jurisdiction of this Court.
- 6. On June 8, 2010, Revenue Officer C. Garcia issued an IRS summons directing Respondent to appear on July 16, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. at 880 Front Street, San Diego, California, to give testimony and to produce for examination the documents and records specified the summons. Montgomery Decl. at ¶¶ 3, 5. The summons related to the assessment of income tax liability for the year 2005. Id. at ¶¶ 2, 3. On June 10, 2010, Revenue Officer Montgomery served the summons by leaving an attested copy of the summons at Respondent's last and usual place of abode. Id. at ¶ 4. Copies of the summons and certificate of service are attached to the Montgomery Declaration as Exhibits A and B.
- 7. On July 16, 2010, Respondent did not appear in response to the summons and did not provide any of the summonsed information. <u>Id.</u> at  $\P$  5.
- 8. Respondent has not provided the IRS with the testimony and documents requested in the summons. Id. at  $\P$  7.
- 9. All administrative steps required by the Internal Revenue Code for the issuance of the summons have been taken. <u>Id.</u> at  $\P$  9.
- 10. In order to obtain judicial enforcement of an IRS summons, the United States bears the initial burden of showing "that the investigation will be conducted pursuant to a legitimate purpose, that the inquiry may be relevant to the purpose, that the information sought is not already within the Commissioner's possession, and that the administrative steps required by the Code have been followed ..." United States v. Powell, 379 U.S. 48, 57-58 (1964); accord, United States v. Dynavac, 6 F.3d 1407 (9th Cir. 1993). The burden on the government is a "slight one" and may be satisfied by presenting the declaration by the investigating agent. Dynavac, 6 F.3d at 1414 (citations omitted). Once a prima facie case has been made, "a heavy burden falls on the taxpayer" to show an abuse of process or lack of institutional good faith. Id. The taxpayer "must allege specific facts and evidence to support his allegations." Liberty Financial Services v. United States, 778 F.2d 1390, 1392 (9th Cir. 1985) (citation omitted). The matter may be decided on the written record in a summary proceeding. See Hotz

v. United States, No. MISC-CV-F-95-32, 1996 WL 159695 at \*2 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 9, 1996). To be entitled to an evidentiary hearing, a respondent must make some showing to support a contention of improper purpose or lack of good faith. Fortney v. United States, 59 F.3d 117, 121 (9th Cir. 1995).

- 11. Revenue Officer Montgomery is conducting an investigation to determine Respondent's income tax liability for the tax year 2005. Montgomery Decl. at ¶ 2.
- 12. The Internal Revenue Code specifically allows the issuance of a summons for the purpose of "determining the liability of any person for any internal revenue tax... or collecting any such liability ..." 26 U.S.C. § 7602(a). Therefore, Revenue Officer Montgomery's investigation is being conducted pursuant to a legitimate purpose specifically authorized by statute.
- 13. Further, the testimony, books, papers, records, or other data sought by the summons are not already in the possession of the IRS. Montgomery Decl. at ¶ 8.
- 14. Respondent is in possession and control of the testimony and documents concerning the above-described investigation.
- 15. The Internal Revenue Code permits the Secretary to summon the records of any person "which may be relevant or material" to the investigation. 26 U.S.C. § 7602(a)(1). It is well settled that to satisfy the relevancy requirement set forth in <u>Powell</u>, the United States must demonstrate that the summonsed information may "throw light upon" the subject of the investigation. <u>United States v. Arthur Young & Co.</u>, 465 U.S. 805, 813-14, n. 11 (1984). This standard necessarily presents a low threshold because of the inherent difficulties in ascertaining, prior to examination, how much use the summonsed records will be in determining the collectibility of a person's tax liability and whether a person has an income tax liability for a specific period. The Supreme Court has observed that:

[a]s the language of § 7602 clearly indicates, an IRS summons is not to be judged by the relevance standards used in deciding whether to admit evidence in federal court. The language "may be" reflects Congress' express intention to allow the IRS to obtain items of even <u>potential</u> relevance to an ongoing investigation, without reference to its admissibility. The purpose of Congress is obvious: the Service can hardly be expected to know whether such data will in fact be relevant until it is procured and scrutinized.

Arthur Young & Co., 465 U.S. at 814 (internal citation omitted) (emphasis in original). This low threshold of relevance also follows from the language of the Code, which authorizes the investigation of persons who "may be liable" for taxes. 26 U.S.C. § 7601.

16. Under the instant circumstances, it is clear that the summonsed material is relevant. The purpose of Revenue Officer Montgomery's investigation is to determine Respondent's income tax liability for 2005. Montgomery Decl. at ¶ 2. The summons seeks, among other things, "All documents and records you possess or control regarding assets, liabilities, or accounts held in the taxpayer's name or for the taxpayer's benefit [including] . . . all bank statements, checkbooks, cancelled checks, savings account passbooks, records or certificates of deposit . . ." <u>Id.</u> at Exhibit A. The documents sought by this summons are relevant to the determination of the collectibility of the tax liability assessed against Respondent. <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 10.

- 17. There is no Department of Justice referral in effect with respect to Respondent. <u>Id.</u> at ¶ 11. WHEREFORE, the United States requests that:
- 1. The Court enter an order directing Respondent, Michael A. Neal, to show cause, if any, why he should not comply with and obey the above-described summons (Montgomery Decl. at Exhibit A) served on June 10, 2010, and each and every requirement thereof, by ordering the attendance, testimony, and production of the books, papers, records, and other data required and called for by the terms of the summons before Revenue Officer Montgomery, or any other proper officer or employee of the IRS at such time and place as may be fixed by Revenue Officer Montgomery or any other proper officer or employee of the IRS.
- 2. That the United States recover the costs and expenses incurred in maintaining this action against Respondent.
  - 3. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be required.

22 DATED: December 10, 2010

LAURA E. DUFFY United States Attorney

s/ Caroline J. Clark

CAROLINE J. CLARK
Assistant United States Attorney
Attorneys for Petitioner
E-Mail: caroline.clark@usdoj.gov

## Case 3:10-cv-02542-IEG -POR Document 1 Filed 12/10/10 Page 5 of 5

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a)	PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS					
The Ur	nited States of Ame	rica		Michael A. Neal					
(b)	•	of First Listed Plaintiff S XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	an Diego	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
(c)	Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number	)	Attorneys (If Known)					
Caroline Clark, Assistant U.S. Attorney, Civil Division, Office of the						'10CV254	2 IEG POR		
		Street, Rm 6293, San D		111 (1	TOTAL MENTER OF I	DINCIPAL DADTIES			
		ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
iRJi	U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citiz		TTF DEF  1 1 Incorporated or P  of Business In Th			
<b>□</b> 2	U.S. Government Defendant	<ul><li>4 Diversity</li><li>(Indicate Citizenshi)</li></ul>	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	D 2 D 2 Incorporated and of Business In			
					Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country				
IV. N	NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Onl		FOR	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
120   130   140   150   150   150   151   152   152   152   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153   153	Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS  441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJUR  362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persons Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vacas Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	TTY	510 Agriculture 520 Other Food & Drug 525 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 530 Liquor Laws 540 R.R. & Truck 550 Airline Regs. 560 Occupational Safety/Health 590 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawai 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS Ø 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment   410 Antitrust   430 Banks and Banking   450 Commerce   460 Deportation   470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations   480 Consumer Credit   490 Cable/Sat TV   810 Selective Service   850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange   875 Customer Challenge   12 USC 3410   890 Other Statutory Actions   891 Agricultural Acts   892 Economic Stabilization Act   893 Environmental Matters   894 Energy Allocation Act   895 Freedom of Information Act   900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice   950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
V. O	Original	tate Court	Appellate Court	Reo	stated or anoth		n Judgment		
VI. C	CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca				al statutes unless diversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN  COMPLAINT:  CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23					EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: o: ☐ Yes Ø No		
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE						DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 12/1	12/10/2010 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD  Caroline Claud								
FOR O	FFICE USE ONLY						•		
DEC	FIDT# A	MOUNT	APPI VING IED		HDGE	MAG III	DGE		